

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/672,787	09/26/2003	Robert E. Lagace	ELITRA.025C2	2645
20995	7590 06/06/2006		EXAMINER	
111,0222	MARTENS OLSON &	GRASER, JENNIFER E		
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA	A 92614	1645		
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/672,787	LAGACE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jennifer E. Graser	1645				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
•	s action is non-final.					
· · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Burea		ou in the National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/672,787

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 19 is vague and indefinite due to the phrase "hybridizes under high stringency" because hybridization conditions can vary considerably. A number of parameters govern the stringency of the hybridization including the hybridization temperature, hybridization time, washing temperature, washing time, formamide concentration, detergent concentration and salt concentration. Changes in these parameters will affect the specificity of the binding. Thus, in order to ascertain the metes and bounds of the patent protection, the skilled artisan would require knowledge of these specific parameters. The claim does not clearly and unambiguously set forth the appropriate reaction conditions. The rejection may be overcome by clearly setting forth the reaction conditions encompassed by a stringent hybridization, as supported by the disclosure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/672,787

Art Unit: 1645

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent

- another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Breton (US 6,673,910 B1; which was filed 4/4/2000 and which claims priority under 119(e) to an application filed 8/8/1999).

Breton teaches isolated polypeptide and nucleotide sequences derived from Moraxella catarrhalis. SEQ ID NO: 673 of the patent is 99.3% identical to that which is recited in nucleotides 11357 to 12736 of SEQ ID NO:35 in the instant application. Methods for the recombinant production of a protein are recited which include inserting SEQ ID NO: 673 into an expression vector and expressing said vector in a host cell. Fragments 'consisting essentially' of at least 22 nucleotides are taught. Full-length compliments to SEQ ID NO:673 as well as hybrid sequences are also taught. See column 5, 6 and 9, for example. Although Breton does not specifically recite that the sequence contained within SEQ ID NO: 673 encodes a UDP-N-acetylmuramoylalanine-D-glutamate ligase which is the same as the one encoded by 11357 to 12376 of SEQ ID NO:35, it appears that such sequence would given the very high similarity of the nucleotide sequences and the fact that they are derived from the same Genus/species, e.g., Moraxella catarrhalis. Since the Patent Office does not have the facilities for examining and comparing applicants' product with the product of the prior art reference, the burden is on applicants to show an unobvious distinction between the material

Application/Control Number: 10/672,787

Art Unit: 1645

structural and functional characteristics of the claimed product and the product of the prior art. See In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 195 USP PQ 430 (CCPA 1977). See Result # 3 from the sequence alignments in eDAN under the "SCORE" icon.

- 5. No claims are allowed. "An isolated polynucleotide sequence *consisting of* nucleotides 11357 to 12736 of SEQ ID NO: 35", as well as recombinant protein production methods, vectors and host cells which utilize/comprise this sequence are free of the prior art.
- 6. Correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 1645. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Remsen. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15,1989). The Group 1645 Fax number is 571-273-8300 which is able to receive transmissions 24 hours/day, 7 days/week.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer E. Graser whose telephone number is (571) 272-0858. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 AM-6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Lynette Smith, can be reached on (571) 272-0864.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0500.

dennifer Graser Primary Examinei

Art Unit 1645